

January 25, 2008

JN 08021

Sound Quality Homes
PO Box 15559
Seattle, Washington 98115

Attention: Geordy Rostad

Subject: **Transmittal Letter – Geotechnical Engineering Study**
Proposed Single-Family Residence
90XX - 126th Avenue Northeast
Kirkland, Washington

Dear Mr. Rostad:

We are pleased to present this geotechnical engineering report for the proposed single-family residence to be constructed in Kirkland, Washington. The scope of our services consisted of exploring site surface and subsurface conditions, and then developing this report to provide recommendations for general earthwork and design criteria for foundations and retaining walls. This work was authorized by your acceptance of our proposal, P-7345, dated May 3, 2007.

We were provided with a sketch of a site plan from Sound Quality Homes. Based on this plan and conversations with Rich Rostad of Sound Quality Homes, we understand that the proposed residence will be located on the southwestern portion of the site, set back 20 feet from the street right-of-way. The lowest floor will be set down approximately 4 feet below the street, thus cuts to reach the foundation grade of the residence will likely be only a few feet below the ground.

If the scope of the project changes from what we have described above, we should be provided with revised plans in order to determine if modifications to the recommendations and conclusions of this report are warranted.

SITE CONDITIONS

SURFACE

The Vicinity Map, Plate 1, illustrates the general location of the site in Kirkland. The L-shaped property has 100 feet of frontage on its western side along 126th Avenue Northeast, and its longest length is 183 feet. The existing property is undeveloped, and so are the adjacent properties to the north and east. These undeveloped properties are mostly covered with deciduous trees and dense underbrush. The western portion of the site is situated about 2 to 4 feet above the adjacent northern and eastern properties; it is apparent that fill soil was placed in this area. The deciduous trees on this portion of the site are relatively large, and the underbrush is mainly blackberry vines. We understand that the areas north and east of the western portion of the site are designated as a wetland.

SUBSURFACE

The subsurface conditions were explored by excavating three test pits at the approximate locations shown on the Site Exploration Plan, Plate 2. Our exploration program was based on the proposed construction, anticipated subsurface conditions and those encountered during exploration, and the scope of work outlined in our proposal.

The test pits were excavated on January 21, 2008 with a small trackhoe. A geotechnical engineer from our staff observed the excavation process, logged the test pits, and obtained representative samples of the soil encountered. "Grab" samples of selected subsurface soil were collected from the backhoe bucket. The Test Pit Logs are attached to this report as Plates 3 and 4.

Soil Conditions

The upper, approximate 4 feet of soil exposed in the test pits consisted of loose, silty sand fill with some wood and brick debris. Approximately one foot of topsoil was revealed below the fill, followed by native soils that consist of sand or silty sand. The native soils were generally loose at first, but then became medium-dense to dense at depths ranging from approximately 6 to 6.5 feet. The deepest test pit was excavated to a depth of 8.5 feet.

Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater seepage was observed at a depth of 5 to 7 feet. However, the test pits were left open for only a short time period. Therefore, the seepage levels on the logs represent the location of transient water seepage and may not indicate the static groundwater level. It should be noted that groundwater levels vary seasonally with rainfall and other factors. It is likely that groundwater could be found in more permeable soil layers perched on the underlying topsoil or the denser sand soils, as was found in the test pits.

The stratification lines on the logs represent the approximate boundaries between soil types at the exploration locations. The actual transition between soil types may be gradual, and subsurface conditions can vary between exploration locations. The logs provide specific subsurface information only at the locations tested. The relative densities and moisture descriptions indicated on the test pit logs are interpretive descriptions based on the conditions observed during excavation.

The compaction of backfill was not in the scope of our services. Loose soil will therefore be found in the area of the test pits. If this presents a problem, the backfill will need to be removed and replaced with structural fill during construction.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

GENERAL

THIS SECTION CONTAINS A SUMMARY OF OUR STUDY AND FINDINGS FOR THE PURPOSES OF A GENERAL OVERVIEW ONLY. MORE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS ARE CONTAINED IN THE REMAINDER OF THIS REPORT. ANY PARTY RELYING ON THIS REPORT SHOULD READ THE ENTIRE DOCUMENT.

The test pits conducted for this study encountered medium-dense or denser, native sand and silty sand soils at depths of about 6 feet. The competent native soils are not susceptible to seismic liquefaction because of their dense nature. Loose fill, topsoil, and native soil were revealed above these competent native soils. Building and slab loads from the proposed residence should not be placed on the loose, upper soils. The loads should be transferred directly onto the competent soils, or on structural fill that is placed over the competent soils. Conventional footings can be used as the foundations for the residence. However, if the foundations are to be placed directly onto competent native soils, it may be necessary to protect them with a mat of imported, clean, angular material if they are wet. In addition, if standing water is found in the excavation for the residence, which could be the case in the normally wet winter and spring months (as was found in the test pits), any structural fill placed in the excavation will also have to be imported, clean, angular material until just above the level the water. Less clean, but still compactable, material could be placed above the water level up to the proposed foundation level of the proposed residence.

The erosion control measures needed during the site development will depend heavily on the weather conditions that are encountered. While site clearing will expose a large area of bare soil, the erosion potential on the site is relatively low due to the gentle slope of the ground. We anticipate that a silt fence will be needed around the downslope sides of any cleared areas. Rocked construction access roads should be extended into the site to reduce the amount of soil or mud carried off the property by trucks and equipment. Wherever possible, these roads should follow the alignment of planned pavements, and trucks should not be allowed to drive off of the rock-covered areas. Existing catch basins in, and immediately downslope of, the planned work areas should be protected with pre-manufactured silt socks. Cut slopes and soil stockpiles should be covered with plastic during wet weather. Following rough grading, it may be necessary to mulch or hydroseed bare areas that will not be immediately covered with landscaping or an impervious surface.

The drainage and/or waterproofing recommendations presented in this report are intended only to prevent active seepage from flowing through concrete walls or slabs. Even in the absence of active seepage into and beneath structures, water vapor can migrate through walls, slabs, and floors from the surrounding soil, and can even be transmitted from slabs and foundation walls due to the concrete curing process. Water vapor also results from occupant uses, such as cooking and bathing. Excessive water vapor trapped within structures can result in a variety of undesirable conditions, including, but not limited to, moisture problems with flooring systems, excessively moist air within occupied areas, and the growth of molds, fungi, and other biological organisms that may be harmful to the health of the occupants. The designer or architect must consider the potential vapor sources and likely occupant uses, and provide sufficient ventilation, either passive or mechanical, to prevent a build up of excessive water vapor within the planned structure.

Geotech Consultants, Inc. should be allowed to review the final development plans to verify that the recommendations presented in this report are adequately addressed in the design. Such a plan review would be additional work beyond the current scope of work for this study, and it may include revisions to our recommendations to accommodate site, development, and geotechnical constraints that become more evident during the review process.

We recommend including this report, in its entirety, in the project contract documents. This report should also be provided to any future property owners so they will be aware of our findings and recommendations.

SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

In accordance with Table 1613.5.2 of the 2006 International Building Code (IBC), the site soil profile within 100 feet of the ground surface is best represented by Soil Profile Type D (Stiff Soil Profile). The competent native soils are not susceptible to seismic liquefaction because of their dense nature.

CONVENTIONAL FOUNDATIONS

The proposed structure can be supported on conventional continuous and spread footings bearing on undisturbed, medium-dense or denser, native sand soils, or on structural fill placed above this competent native soil. See the section entitled **General Earthwork and Structural Fill** for recommendations regarding the placement and compaction of structural fill beneath structures. Adequate compaction of structural fill should be verified with frequent density testing during fill placement. Prior to placing structural fill beneath foundations, the excavation should be observed by the geotechnical engineer to document that adequate bearing soils have been exposed. We recommend that continuous and individual spread footings have minimum widths of 16 and 24 inches, respectively. Exterior footings should also be bottomed at least 18 inches below the lowest adjacent finish ground surface for protection against frost and erosion. The local building codes should be reviewed to determine if different footing widths or embedment depths are required. Footing subgrades must be cleaned of loose or disturbed soil prior to pouring concrete. Depending upon site and equipment constraints, this may require removing the disturbed soil by hand.

Overexcavation will likely be needed below the footings to expose competent native soil. Unless lean concrete is used to fill an overexcavated hole, the overexcavation must be at least as wide at the bottom as the sum of the depth of the overexcavation and the footing width. For example, an overexcavation extending 2 feet below the bottom of a 2-foot-wide footing must be at least 4 feet wide at the base of the excavation. If lean concrete is used, the overexcavation need only extend 6 inches beyond the edges of the footing.

An allowable bearing pressure of 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf) is appropriate for footings supported on soils as noted above. A one-third increase in this design bearing pressure may be used when considering short-term wind or seismic loads. For the above design criteria, it is anticipated that the total post-construction settlement of footings founded on competent native soil, or on structural fill up to 5 feet in thickness, will be about 3/4 inch, with differential settlements on the order of 1/2 inch in a distance of 50 feet along a continuous footing with a uniform load.

Lateral loads due to wind or seismic forces may be resisted by friction between the foundation and the bearing soil, or by passive earth pressure acting on the vertical, embedded portions of the foundation. For the latter condition, the foundation must be either poured directly against relatively level, undisturbed soil or be surrounded by level structural fill. We recommend using the following ultimate values for the foundation's resistance to lateral loading:

PARAMETER	ULTIMATE VALUE
Coefficient of Friction	0.45
Passive Earth Pressure	300 pcf

Where: (i) pcf is pounds per cubic foot, and (ii) passive earth pressure is computed using the equivalent fluid density.

If the ground in front of a foundation is loose or sloping, the passive earth pressure given above will not be appropriate. We recommend maintaining a safety factor of at least 1.5 for the foundation's resistance to lateral loading, when using the above ultimate values.

PERMANENT FOUNDATION AND RETAINING WALLS

Retaining walls backfilled on only one side should be designed to resist the lateral earth pressures imposed by the soil they retain. The following recommended parameters are for walls that restrain level backfill:

PARAMETER	VALUE
Active Earth Pressure *	35 pcf
Passive Earth Pressure	300 pcf
Coefficient of Friction	0.45
Soil Unit Weight	130 pcf

Where: (i) pcf is pounds per cubic foot, and (ii) active and passive earth pressures are computed using the equivalent fluid pressures.

*** For a restrained wall that cannot deflect at least 0.002 times its height, a uniform lateral pressure equal to 10 psf times the height of the wall should be added to the above active equivalent fluid pressure.**

The values given above are to be used to design permanent foundation and retaining walls only. It is not appropriate to back-calculate soil strength parameters from the earth pressures and soil unit weights presented in the table. The passive pressure given is appropriate for the depth of level structural fill placed in front of a retaining or foundation wall only. The values for friction and passive resistance are ultimate values and do not include a safety factor. We recommend a safety factor of at least 1.5 for overturning and sliding, when using the above values to design the walls. Restrained wall soil parameters should be utilized for a distance of 1.5 times the wall height from corners or bends in the walls. This is intended to reduce the amount of cracking that can occur where a wall is restrained by a corner.

The design values given above do not include the effects of any hydrostatic pressures behind the walls and assume that no surcharges, such as those caused by slopes, vehicles, or adjacent foundations will be exerted on the walls. If these conditions exist, those pressures should be added to the above lateral soil pressures. Where sloping backfill is desired behind the walls, we will need to be given the wall dimensions and the slope of the backfill in order to provide the appropriate design earth pressures. The surcharge due to traffic loads behind a wall can typically be accounted for by adding a uniform pressure equal to 2 feet multiplied by the above active fluid density.

Heavy construction equipment should not be operated behind retaining and foundation walls within a distance equal to the height of a wall, unless the walls are designed for the additional lateral pressures resulting from the equipment. The wall design criteria assume that the backfill will be well-compacted in lifts no thicker than 12 inches. The compaction of backfill near the walls should

be accomplished with hand-operated equipment to prevent the walls from being overloaded by the higher soil forces that occur during compaction.

Retaining Wall Backfill and Waterproofing

Backfill placed behind retaining or foundation walls should be coarse, free-draining structural fill containing no organics. This backfill should contain no more than 5 percent silt or clay particles and have no gravel greater than 4 inches in diameter. The percentage of particles passing the No. 4 sieve should be between 25 and 70 percent. The onsite fill soil is not adequate to use as free-draining backfill. If it is used as backfill, a minimum 12-inch width of free-draining gravel and/or a drainage composite similar to Miradrain 6000 should be placed against the backfilled retaining walls. The drainage composites should be hydraulically connected to the foundation drain system. Free-draining backfill or gravel should be used for the entire width of the backfill where seepage is encountered. The later section entitled ***Drainage Considerations*** should also be reviewed for recommendations related to subsurface drainage behind foundation and retaining walls.

The purpose of these backfill requirements is to ensure that the design criteria for a retaining wall are not exceeded because of a build-up of hydrostatic pressure behind the wall. The top 12 to 18 inches of the backfill should consist of a compacted, relatively impermeable soil or topsoil, or the surface should be paved. The ground surface must also slope away from backfilled walls to reduce the potential for surface water to percolate into the backfill. The section entitled ***General Earthwork and Structural Fill*** contains recommendations regarding the placement and compaction of structural fill behind retaining and foundation walls.

The above recommendations are not intended to waterproof below-grade walls, or to prevent the formation of mold, mildew or fungi in interior spaces. Over time, the performance of subsurface drainage systems can degrade, subsurface groundwater flow patterns can change, and utilities can break or develop leaks. Therefore, waterproofing should be provided where future seepage through the walls is not acceptable. This typically includes limiting cold-joints and wall penetrations, and using bentonite panels or membranes on the outside of the walls. There are a variety of different waterproofing materials and systems, which should be installed by an experienced contractor familiar with the anticipated construction and subsurface conditions. Applying a thin coat of asphalt emulsion to the outside face of a wall is not considered waterproofing, and will only help to reduce moisture generated from water vapor or capillary action from seeping through the concrete. As with any project, adequate ventilation of basement and crawl space areas is important to prevent a build up of water vapor that is commonly transmitted through concrete walls from the surrounding soil, even when seepage is not present. This is appropriate even when waterproofing is applied to the outside of foundation and retaining walls. We recommend that you contact a specialty consultant if detailed recommendations or specifications related to waterproofing design, or minimizing the potential for infestations of mold and mildew are desired.

The ***General***, ***Slabs-On-Grade***, and ***Drainage Considerations*** sections should be reviewed for additional recommendations related to the control of groundwater and excess water vapor for the anticipated construction.

SLABS-ON-GRADE

The building floors can be constructed as slabs-on-grade atop structural fill. The subgrade soil must be in a firm, non-yielding condition at the time of slab construction or underslab fill placement. Any soft areas encountered should be excavated and replaced with select, imported structural fill.

Even where the exposed soils appear dry, water vapor will tend to naturally migrate upward through the soil to the new constructed space above it. All interior slabs-on-grade must be underlain by a capillary break or drainage layer consisting of a minimum 4-inch thickness of gravel or crushed rock that has a fines content (percent passing the No. 200 sieve) of less than 3 percent and a sand content (percent passing the No. 4 sieve) of no more than 10 percent. As noted by the American Concrete Institute (ACI) in the *Guides for Concrete Floor and Slab Structures*, proper moisture protection is desirable immediately below any on-grade slab that will be covered by tile, wood, carpet, impermeable floor coverings, or any moisture-sensitive equipment or products. ACI also notes that vapor *retarders*, such as 6-mil plastic sheeting, are typically used. A vapor retarder is defined as a material with a permeance of less than 0.3 US perms per square foot (psf) per hour, as determined by ASTM E 96. It is possible that concrete admixtures may meet this specification, although the manufacturers of the admixtures should be consulted. Where plastic sheeting is used under slabs, joints should overlap by at least 6 inches and be sealed with adhesive tape. The sheeting should extend to the foundation walls for maximum vapor protection. If no potential for vapor passage through the slab is desired, a vapor *barrier* should be used. A vapor barrier, as defined by ACI, is a product with a water transmission rate of 0.00 perms per square foot per hour when tested in accordance with ASTM E 96. Reinforced membranes having sealed overlaps can meet this requirement.

In the recent past, ACI (Section 4.1.5) recommended that a minimum of 4 inches of well-graded compactable granular material, such as a 5/8 inch minus crushed rock pavement base, should be placed over the vapor retarder or barrier for protection of the retarder or barrier and as a "blotter" to aid in the curing of the concrete slab. Sand was not recommended by ACI for this purpose. However, the use of material over the vapor retarder is controversial as noted in current ACI literature because of the potential that the protection/blotter material can become wet between the time of its placement and the installation of the slab. If the material is wet prior to slab placement, which is always possible in the Puget Sound area, it could cause vapor transmission to occur up through the slab in the future, essentially destroying the purpose of the vapor barrier/retarder. Therefore, if there is a potential that the protection/blotter material will become wet before the slab is installed, ACI now recommends that no protection/blotter material be used. However, ACI then recommends that, because there is a potential for slab cure due to the loss of the blotter material, joint spacing in the slab be reduced, a low shrinkage concrete mixture be used, and "other measures" (steel reinforcing, etc.) be used. ASTM E-1643-98 "Standard Practice for Installation of Water Vapor Retarders Used in Contact with Earth or Granular Fill Under Concrete Slabs" generally agrees with the recent ACI literature.

We recommend that the contractor, the project materials engineer, and the owner discuss these issues and review recent ACI literature and ASTM E-1643 for installation guidelines and guidance on the use of the protection/blotter material. Our opinion is that with impervious surfaces that all means should be undertaken to reduce water vapor transmission.

The **General, Permanent Foundation and Retaining Walls**, and **Drainage Considerations** sections should be reviewed for additional recommendations related to the control of groundwater and excess water vapor for the anticipated construction.

EXCAVATIONS AND SLOPES

Excavation slopes should not exceed the limits specified in local, state, and national government safety regulations. Temporary cuts to a depth of about 4 feet may be attempted vertically in unsaturated soil, if there are no indications of slope instability. However, vertical cuts should not be made near property boundaries, or existing utilities and structures. Based upon Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 296, Part N, the soil at the subject site would generally be classified as Type B. Therefore, temporary cut slopes greater than 4 feet in height should not be excavated at an inclination steeper than 1:1 (Horizontal:Vertical), extending continuously between the top and the bottom of a cut.

The above-recommended temporary slope inclination is based on the conditions exposed in our explorations, and on what has been successful at other sites with similar soil conditions. It is possible that variations in soil and groundwater conditions will require modifications to the inclination at which temporary slopes can stand. Temporary cuts are those that will remain unsupported for a relatively short duration to allow for the construction of foundations, retaining walls, or utilities. Temporary cut slopes should be protected with plastic sheeting during wet weather. It is also important that surface water be directed away from temporary slope cuts. The cut slopes should also be backfilled or retained as soon as possible to reduce the potential for instability. Please note that loose soil can cave suddenly and without warning. Excavation, foundation, and utility contractors should be made especially aware of this potential danger. These recommendations may need to be modified if the area near the potential cuts has been disturbed in the past by utility installation, or if settlement-sensitive utilities are located nearby.

DRAINAGE CONSIDERATIONS

Foundation drains should be used where (1) crawl spaces or basements will be below a structure, (2) a slab is below the outside grade, or (3) the outside grade does not slope downward from a building. Drains should also be placed at the base of all earth-retaining walls. These drains should be surrounded by at least 6 inches of 1-inch-minus, washed rock and then wrapped in non-woven, geotextile filter fabric (Mirafi 140N, Supac 4NP, or similar material). At its highest point, a perforated pipe invert should be at least 6 inches below the bottom of a slab floor or the level of a crawl space, and it should be sloped for drainage. All roof and surface water drains must be kept separate from the foundation drain system. A typical drain detail is attached to this report as Plate 5. For the best long-term performance, perforated PVC pipe is recommended for all subsurface drains.

As a minimum, a vapor retarder, as defined in the **Slabs-On-Grade** section, should be provided in any crawl space area to limit the transmission of water vapor from the underlying soils. Also, an outlet drain is recommended for all crawl spaces to prevent a build up of any water that may bypass the footing drains.

Groundwater was observed during our field work. If seepage is encountered in an excavation, it should be drained from the site by directing it through drainage ditches, perforated pipe, or French drains, or by pumping it from sumps interconnected by shallow connector trenches at the bottom of the excavation.

The excavation and site should be graded so that surface water is directed off the site and away from the tops of slopes. Water should not be allowed to stand in any area where foundations,

slabs, or pavements are to be constructed. Final site grading in areas adjacent to the residence should slope away at least 2 percent, except where the area is paved. Surface drains should be provided where necessary to prevent ponding of water behind foundation or retaining walls.

GENERAL EARTHWORK AND STRUCTURAL FILL

All building and pavement areas should be stripped of surface vegetation, topsoil, organic soil, and other deleterious material. The stripped or removed materials should not be mixed with any materials to be used as structural fill, but they could be used in non-structural areas, such as landscape beds.

Structural fill is defined as any fill, including utility backfill, placed under, or close to, a building, behind permanent retaining or foundation walls, or in other areas where the underlying soil needs to support loads. All structural fill should be placed in horizontal lifts with a moisture content at, or near, the optimum moisture content. The optimum moisture content is that moisture content that results in the greatest compacted dry density. The moisture content of fill is very important and must be closely controlled during the filling and compaction process.

The allowable thickness of the fill lift will depend on the material type selected, the compaction equipment used, and the number of passes made to compact the lift. The loose lift thickness should not exceed 12 inches. We recommend testing the fill as it is placed. If the fill is not sufficiently compacted, it can be recompacted before another lift is placed. This eliminates the need to remove the fill to achieve the required compaction. The following table presents recommended relative compactions for structural fill:

LOCATION OF FILL PLACEMENT	MINIMUM RELATIVE COMPACTION
Beneath footings, slabs or walkways	95%
Filled slopes and behind retaining walls	90%
Beneath pavements	95% for upper 12 inches of subgrade; 90% below that level

Where: Minimum Relative Compaction is the ratio, expressed in percentages, of the compacted dry density to the maximum dry density, as determined in accordance with ASTM Test Designation D 1557-91 (Modified Proctor).

On-site soil will very likely not be used as structural fill due to its high moisture content and its organic/debris content. Structural fill that will be placed in wet weather should consist of a coarse, granular soil with a silt or clay content of no more than 5 percent. The percentage of particles passing the No. 200 sieve should be measured from that portion of soil passing the three-quarter-inch sieve.

LIMITATIONS

The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based on site conditions as they existed at the time of our exploration and assume that the soil and groundwater conditions encountered in the test pits are representative of subsurface conditions on the site. If the subsurface conditions encountered during construction are significantly different from those observed in our explorations, we should be advised at once so that we can review these conditions and reconsider our recommendations where necessary. Unanticipated soil conditions are commonly encountered on construction sites and cannot be fully anticipated by merely taking soil samples in test pits. Subsurface conditions can also vary between exploration locations. Such unexpected conditions frequently require making additional expenditures to attain a properly constructed project. It is recommended that the owner consider providing a contingency fund to accommodate such potential extra costs and risks. This is a standard recommendation for all projects.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Sound Quality Homes and its representatives for specific application to this project and site. Our recommendations and conclusions are based on observed site materials and engineering analyses. Our conclusions and recommendations are professional opinions derived in accordance with current standards of practice within the scope of our services and within budget and time constraints. No warranty is expressed or implied. The scope of our services does not include services related to construction safety precautions, and our recommendations are not intended to direct the contractor's methods, techniques, sequences, or procedures, except as specifically described in our report for consideration in design. Our services also do not include assessing or minimizing the potential for biological hazards, such as mold, bacteria, mildew and fungi in either the existing or proposed site development.

ADDITIONAL SERVICES

In addition to reviewing the final plans, Geotech Consultants, Inc. should be retained to provide geotechnical consultation, testing, and observation services during construction. This is to confirm that subsurface conditions are consistent with those indicated by our exploration, to evaluate whether earthwork and foundation construction activities comply with the general intent of the recommendations presented in this report, and to provide suggestions for design changes in the event subsurface conditions differ from those anticipated prior to the start of construction. However, our work would not include the supervision or direction of the actual work of the contractor and its employees or agents. Also, job and site safety, and dimensional measurements, will be the responsibility of the contractor.

During the construction phase, we will provide geotechnical observation and testing services when requested by you or your representatives. Please be aware that we can only document site work we actually observe. It is still the responsibility of your contractor or on-site construction team to verify that our recommendations are being followed, whether we are present at the site or not.


The following plates are attached to complete this report:

Plate 1	Vicinity Map
Plate 2	Site Exploration Plan
Plates 3 - 4	Test Pit Logs
Plate 5	Typical Footing Drain Detail

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service on this project. If you have any questions, or if we may be of further service, please do not hesitate to contact us.


Respectfully submitted,

GEOTECH CONSULTANTS, INC.



D. Robert Ward, P.E.
Principal

DRW: jyb



SITE

98052

Redmond

Kirkland

98005



Bellevue
98007



GEOTECH
CONSULTANTS, INC.

VICINITY MAP
90xx - 126th Avenue NE
Kirkland, Washington

Job 08021	Date: Jan. 2008	Scale: Not to Scale	Plate: 1
---------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------



126th AVENUENE

Legend

■ = Approximate Test Pit Location



GEOTECH
CONSULTANTS, INC.

SITE EXPLORATION PLAN

90xx - 126th Avenue NE
Kirkland, Washington

<i>Job</i> 08021	<i>Date:</i> Jan. 2008	<i>Scale:</i> Not to Scale	<i>Plate:</i> 2
---------------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------

TEST PIT 1

Depth (ft.)
Moisture
Content (%)
Water
Table
USCS

Description

5	▼	FILL	Brown, gravelly, silty SAND, with some wood debris, very moist, loose to medium-dense (Fill)
			Old topsoil
		SP SM	Gray SAND, with a trace of silt, coarse-grained, wet -becomes mottled, more silty, medium-dense to dense
10			
15			

* Test Pit terminated at 8.5 feet on Jan. 21, 2008.
* Groundwater seepage observed at 5 & 7.5 feet during excavation.
* No caving observed during excavation.

TEST PIT 2

Depth (ft.)
Moisture
Content (%)
Water
Table
USCS

Description

5	▼		Brown, gravelly, silty SAND, with some brick debris, very moist, loose to medium-dense (Fill)
			Old topsoil
		SP SM	Gray/mottled SAND, with a trace of silt, coarse-grained, wet -becomes medium-dense to dense
10			
15			

* Test Pit terminated at 7 feet on Jan. 21, 2008.
* No groundwater observed during excavation.
* No caving observed during excavation.




GEOTECH
CONSULTANTS, INC.

TEST PIT LOG

90xx - 126th Avenue NE
Kirkland, Washington

Job	Date:	Logged by:	Plate:
08021	Jan 2008	DRW	3

Slope backfill away from foundation. Provide surface drains where necessary.



NOTES:

- (1) In crawl spaces, provide an outlet drain to prevent buildup of water that bypasses the perimeter footing drains.
- (2) Refer to report text for additional drainage, waterproofing, and slab considerations.



FOOTING DRAIN DETAIL			
90xx - 126th Avenue NE Kirkland, Washington			
Job 08021	Date: Jan. 2008	Scale: Not to Scale	Plate: 5